

been obvious at the time it was made. None of the examiner's arguments address the obviousness of the combination nor the citations factually capable of being combined in the way first claimed by the Applicant. The examiner ignores the presence of the flange and gasket in the context of a juicing machine. The examiner's ruling ignores entirely the role of obviousness and the law of Graham V. John Deere. The examiner is urged to consider all of the claim limitations and not simply the ones that are convenient for the examiner's purposes. In this particular instance, food processors of this kind have been used for many years and no one has ever conceived of improving the feed tube by using a steel one that is attached to the lid using a flange, in such a way that it avoids further stressing the lid or leakage. The evidence provided by the examiner is so scant and spuriously assembled by the examiner's arguments that it would appear that the rejection is merely an unsupported personal opinion. The examiner is also urged to consider that the appreciation of a problem can be equally as inventive as the appreciation of a solution. None of the art citations address the problem that is first brought to the examiner's attention by the Applicant.

It is urged that the application is in condition for allowance and the same is respectfully urged.

Please charge any deficiency in the fees due to our Deposit Account No. 503458 in the name of Molins & Co.

Regards,



Michael Molins  
Reg. No. 31785  
Customer No. 33372

MM/rm